Cryptography, Quantum-safe Cryptography & Quantum Cryptography

Maurizio Dècina CYBERDAYS, Prato, 21 marzo 2024 Regione Toscana



- *Today's Cryptosystems* on the Internet (asymmetric crypto: RSA, DH, ECC; symmetric crypto: AES, SHA)
- *Post Quantum Cryptography*, 4 NIST Cryptosystem Candidates: Lattice, Code-based, Multivariate, and Isogeny-based,)
- Quantum Key Distribution, quantum communications channels to transmit secure symmetric keys
- Quantum Teleportation, transmission of information (qubits): no energy, matter or people tele transport
- Quantum Cryptography, Future Cryptosystems based on Quantum Algorithms
 Laboratory research developments



Public Key Cryptography

- Message: P; Message Hash: SHA(P) Secure Hash Algorithm 256 bit
- PKI, Public Key Infrastructure & Certification Authorities
- A generates key pair: $K_{A} \& K_{A}^{-1}$
- A requests a certificate from *Certification Autority* (CA) for her public Key
- A's Digital Certificate signed by CA: A, K_{Δ} , {SHA(A, K_{A})} K_{CA}^{-1}
- A's Digital Signature of message P: P,{SHA(P)} K_A⁻¹
- A & B exchange their Certificates to know their Public Keys
- A can send an encrypted message P to B

C= {P}K_B; B decodes P= {C}K_B⁻¹

A sends a signed message P to B (or everybody who knows her public key)
 C= P, {SHA(P)}K_A⁻¹; B decodes: P, {{SHA(P)} K_A⁻¹}K_A = P, SHA(P)

Users acquainted with Algorithm, e.g. RSA

everybody knows The CA's public key



Internet Protocols & Security



Maurizio Dècina

Cryptography, Quantum-safe Cryptography & Quantum Cryptography, CYBERDAYS, Prato, March 21th, 2024



Quantum Computing





Computational Complexity Theory



- P = Polynomial time Problems
- NP: Non-deterministic Polynomial time Problen

Polynomial time		Exponential Time		
n -	Linear Search	2^n	 0/1 knapsack Travelling SP Sum of Subsets Graph Coloring Hamilton Cycle 	
logn -	Binary Search	2^n		
n*n -	Insertion Sort	2^n		
n*logn -	Merge Sort	2^n		
n*n*n -	Matrix Multiplication	2^n		

Factorial Time - n! - Error Correcting Code

Where BQP lives in the world of complexity classes



Bounded-error Quantum Polynomial time (BQP)

Quantum Algorithms can be based on Phase Estimation: including **Shor's algorithm**, on Amplitude Amplification including **Grover's algorithm**, and on **Quantum Walks**



Quantum Cyber Threats to Encryption

There are two types of encryption systems currently in use: symmetric and asymmetric encryption (which is also known as public key cryptography).

Quantum Computing poses a real threat to systems leveraging asymmetric encryption

"Shor's algorithm", which runs on a quantum computer, efficiently solves the integer factorization problem that offers the foundations of the public-key cryptography. This implies that, if a quantum computer is developed, today's public-key cryptography algorithms (e.g., RSA, ECDH: Elliptic Curve Diffie Hellman Key Exchange) and protocols would need to be replaced by algorithms and protocols that can offer cryptanalytic resistance against

Examples of public-key cryptography algorithms: RSA, Diffie-Hellman and Elliptic Curve Cryptography

Symmetric cryptography is also affected by Quantum Computing, but significantly less

No quantum computer is known to break the security properties of these classes of algorithms, however performing a "Grover's Search" using a quantum computer halves their security level. This means that breaking AES-128 takes 264 quantum operations, while current attacks take 2128 steps. While this is a change, it can be managed quite easily by doubling the key sizes, e.g., by deploying AES-256

Examples of symmetric cryptography algorithms: AES and HMAC-SHA2



Qubits required for RSA Factorization

QFT: Quantum Fourier Transform



Source: QuantumPedia, 2021,



Quantum Threats to Financial Data

NIST - National Institute of Standards and Technology

Quantum computers are already a threat for cyber security

Harvest now decrypt later makes quantum computing already a threat today



While current quantum computers do not pose any threat, data stored or transmitted today are exposed to "harvest now, decrypt later" attacks by a future quantum computer.

The **long-term sensitivity of financial data** means that the potential future existence of a quantum computer effectively renders today's systems insecure.

https://www.netmeister.org/blog/pqc-2024-01.html

https://www.ibm.com/quantum/blog/ibm-quantum-roadmap

Maurizio Dècina



Four NIST PQC Standard Algorithms

- CRYSTALS-Kyber: This algorithm is designed for generating encryption keys, and for creating secure transactions on the Internet. It's part of the CRYSTALS (Cryptographic Suite for Algebraic Lattices) package, which is based on the hardness of certain problems in lattice-based cryptography
- **CRYSTALS-Dilithium**: This is another part of the CRYSTALS package and is designed **to protect the digital signatures** we use when signing documents remotely. Digital signatures are a crucial part of ensuring the integrity and authenticity of digital documents
- **SPHINCS+**: This is a stateless **hash-based signature scheme**, also designed for digital signatures. Hash-based signatures are particularly interesting because they're resistant to quantum attacks, making them a good choice for post-quantum cryptography
- FALCON: This stands for Fast-Fourier Lattice-based Compact Signatures over NTRU. Like the others, it's also designed for digital signatures. A draft standard for FALCON will be released in about a year, which will provide more details about its implementation



Key Encapsulation Mechanism for PQC

KEM Algorithm	Generate Key	Encapsulation	Decapsulations	Public Key Size	Encapsulation Size
NTRU (<mark>lattice-based</mark> PQC)	0.048ms	0.0073ms	0.012ms	699B	699B
Kyber (l <mark>attice-based</mark> PQC)	0.0070ms	0.011ms	0.0084ms	800B	768B
SABER (<mark>lattice-based</mark> PQC)	0.012ms	0.016ms	0.016ms	672B	736B
Classic McEliece (<mark>code-based</mark> PQC)	14ms	0.011ms	0.036ms	261120B	128B
SIKE (isogeny-based PQC) CRACK	ED 3.0ms	4.4ms	3.3ms	197B	236B
ECDH (X25519) (<mark>non-PQC</mark>)	0.038ms	0.044ms	0.044ms	32B	32B
ECDH (P-256) (<mark>non-PQC</mark>)	0.074ms	0.18ms	0.18ms	32B	32B
RSA-3072 (<mark>non-PQC</mark>)	400ms	0.027ms	2.6ms	384B	384B

ECDH: Elliptic Curve Diffie Hellman Key Exchange

Maurizio Dècina

Source: Ericsson Review, 2021 h 21th, 2024 11

Cryptography, Quantum-safe Cryptography & Quantum Cryptography, CYBERDAYS, Prato, March 21th, 2024



Increase of Key & Ciphertext/Signature Sizes



Source: https://www.telsy.com/la-crittografia-post-quantum-pqc-una-soluzione-classica-alla-minaccia-quantistica/

Maurizio Dècina



Photonic Quantum Key Distribution



100 Gbit/s line speed data encryption. 10 km fiber distance. Long-term continuous operation of the quantum secured communication system (BB84), using feedback control, decoy and error correction *Source: Toshiba, Nature, 2021*

Maurizio Dècina

Cryptography, Quantum-safe Cryptography & Quantum Cryptography, CYBERDAYS, Prato, March 21th, 2024



Quantum Entanglement & Teleportation



- Quantum teleportation enables the "transmission" of an unknown qubit without the physical transfer of the particle encoding the information
- It requires three main ingredients:
- a) both source and destination share the same pair of entangled qubits (a quantum communication channel is needed to distribute such a pair)
- b) local quantum circuit operations both at the source and the destination

c) the transmission of two classical bits from source to destination via a conventional communication channel

Quantum Teleportation refers to transmission of information, not energy, matter or people!

Maurizio Dècina



Q

About Press Room Careers History

Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) and Quantum Cryptography (QC)

5 Issues on Quantum Key Distribution - Some Highlights

- 1. QKD is only a partial solution. QKD generates keying material for an encryption algorithm that provides confidentiality. QKD does not provide a means to authenticate the QKD transmission source. Source authentication requires the use of asymmetric cryptography or preplaced keys
- 2. QKD requires special purpose equipment. It cannot be implemented in software or as a service on a network and cannot be easily integrated into existing network equipment. Since QKD is hardware-based it also lacks flexibility for upgrades or security patches
- **3.** QKD increases infrastructure costs and insider threat risks
- 4. Securing and validating QKD is a significant challenge. The actual security provided by a QKD system is not the theoretical unconditional security from the laws of physics, but rather the more limited security that can be achieved by hardware and engineering designs. The tolerance for error in cryptographic security is many orders of magnitude smaller than in most physical engineering scenarios. The specific hardware used to perform QKD can introduce vulnerabilities
- **5**. **QKD increases the risk of denial of service.** The sensitivity to an eavesdropper as the theoretical basis for QKD security claims also shows that denial of service is a significant risk for QKD



SK Telecom's QKD & PQC Networking







5G/6G Evolved Security Model

